

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 1. Generally speaking, would you say that Ohio is going in the right direction, or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?	Right direction	40.5%	23.2%	26.7%	29.6%	26.9%	30.1%	21.7%	16.8%	21.0%	28.2%
	Wrong track	38.7%	56.7%	54.1%	35.9%	38.8%	46.3%	59.1%	55.4%	48.5%	48.2%
	Mixed	5.0%	4.2%	3.3%	6.6%	.0%	5.2%	.0%	6.0%	5.2%	4.6%
	DK/NA	15.8%	15.9%	15.9%	27.9%	34.4%	18.4%	19.1%	21.9%	25.2%	18.9%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/Did not vote	Men	Women	
Q. 1. Generally speaking, would you say that Ohio is going in the right direction, or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?	Right direction	18.1%	30.8%	21.5%	43.6%	25.7%	18.1%	24.0%	30.1%	26.6%	28.2%
	Wrong track	64.8%	44.3%	35.0%	31.2%	50.7%	63.7%	37.0%	50.2%	46.5%	48.2%
	Mixed	4.6%	4.6%	8.8%	5.9%	4.6%	3.7%	3.8%	4.2%	5.0%	4.6%
	DK/NA	12.4%	20.3%	34.8%	19.3%	19.0%	14.5%	35.2%	15.4%	21.9%	18.9%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
Q. 1. Generally speaking, would you say that Ohio is going in the right direction, or has it gotten off onto the wrong track?	Right direction	37.7%	19.8%	30.8%	29.0%	30.5%	29.1%	26.9%	25.1%	17.9%	24.9%	28.2%
	Wrong track	37.0%	60.2%	42.3%	44.4%	51.2%	47.9%	49.1%	49.1%	32.0%	52.3%	48.2%
	Mixed	6.4%	4.4%	3.0%	3.7%	1.8%	7.2%	5.3%	5.6%	11.8%	7.4%	4.6%
	DK/NA	18.9%	15.6%	23.9%	22.9%	16.5%	15.8%	18.7%	20.2%	38.3%	15.4%	18.9%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 2. Generally speaking, do you approve or disapprove of the job that John Kasich is doing as governor of Ohio?	Strongly approve	10.1%	12.6%	14.9%	11.7%	17.1%	13.6%	3.2%	10.8%	22.0%	12.5%
	Somewhat approve	27.0%	19.0%	17.1%	19.1%	8.4%	22.9%	7.1%	10.4%	1.8%	19.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	14.1%	12.4%	8.0%	13.0%	9.4%	9.8%	21.8%	23.0%	12.0%	11.8%
	Strongly disapprove	19.8%	23.8%	27.6%	21.4%	14.5%	20.7%	41.3%	18.9%	27.3%	23.4%
	DK/NA	29.1%	32.2%	32.5%	34.8%	50.6%	33.1%	26.6%	36.8%	36.9%	32.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 2. Generally speaking, do you approve or disapprove of the job that John Kasich is doing as governor of Ohio?	Strongly approve	6.8%	13.7%	32.8%	30.7%	7.1%	3.0%	8.3%	14.6%	10.7%	12.5%
	Somewhat approve	10.5%	22.2%	0%	31.7%	22.2%	8.3%	11.7%	18.9%	20.4%	19.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	15.5%	10.9%	10.9%	3.4%	11.8%	19.2%	12.2%	9.6%	13.7%	11.8%
	Strongly disapprove	46.8%	17.5%	28.9%	5.4%	20.4%	45.6%	10.8%	24.0%	22.9%	23.4%
	DK/NA	20.4%	35.7%	27.3%	28.8%	38.6%	23.9%	57.0%	32.9%	32.3%	32.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 2. Generally speaking, do you approve or disapprove of the job that John Kasich is doing as governor of Ohio?	Strongly approve	29.3%	2.4%	9.9%	13.0%	13.3%	13.0%	9.8%	8.5%	9.5%	17.1%	12.5%
	Somewhat approve	25.4%	14.1%	22.1%	23.8%	20.2%	17.7%	23.6%	17.6%	12.0%	14.9%	19.7%
	Somewhat disapprove	5.1%	16.4%	11.9%	8.7%	14.4%	11.0%	16.6%	7.5%	5.5%	9.7%	11.8%
	Strongly disapprove	7.8%	39.6%	15.6%	19.5%	18.8%	30.2%	21.0%	30.1%	37.7%	22.5%	23.4%
	DK/NA	32.4%	27.6%	40.4%	35.0%	33.3%	28.0%	28.9%	36.3%	35.2%	35.7%	32.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 3. How much information and news have you read or heard about the State of Ohio's current budget situation?	A lot	13.1%	30.3%	27.6%	33.0%	60.1%	25.4%	39.9%	24.6%	47.0%	27.9%
	Some	38.3%	44.9%	45.2%	36.7%	14.6%	42.3%	40.9%	48.4%	23.7%	41.7%
	Not much	37.8%	18.0%	19.9%	21.2%	9.2%	24.2%	13.6%	19.5%	10.7%	22.2%
	Nothing at all	10.2%	6.3%	7.2%	5.6%	8.0%	7.3%	3.8%	3.4%	12.8%	7.0%
	DK/NA	.7%	.5%	.0%	3.6%	8.0%	.8%	1.9%	4.1%	5.8%	1.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
Q. 3. How much information and news have you read or heard about the State of Ohio's current budget situation?	A lot	39.7%	24.7%	50.1%	22.8%	24.3%	37.4%	23.3%	30.9%	25.3%	27.9%
	Some	39.1%	42.5%	25.7%	43.6%	45.5%	38.1%	33.0%	43.8%	39.8%	41.7%
	Not much	15.9%	23.9%	10.7%	25.7%	24.4%	16.8%	22.6%	18.2%	25.7%	22.2%
	Nothing at all	5.2%	7.5%	.0%	7.7%	4.7%	6.6%	15.3%	6.5%	7.3%	7.0%
	DK/NA	.0%	1.4%	13.5%	.2%	1.2%	1.0%	5.8%	.5%	1.9%	1.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
Q. 3. How much information and news have you read or heard about the State of Ohio's current budget situation?	A lot	29.7%	31.5%	20.6%	23.0%	26.0%	35.0%	29.7%	28.0%	33.7%	22.3%	27.9%
	Some	42.2%	42.4%	40.1%	45.8%	41.1%	40.8%	42.0%	38.1%	45.1%	37.9%	41.7%
	Not much	23.1%	19.4%	25.5%	23.2%	24.4%	17.1%	20.3%	27.3%	13.5%	26.7%	22.2%
	Nothing at all	4.0%	5.6%	12.2%	7.9%	7.0%	5.8%	6.9%	6.6%	5.7%	9.5%	7.0%
	DK/NA	1.0%	1.1%	1.6%	.0%	1.5%	1.2%	1.1%	.0%	2.1%	3.5%	1.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		Q. 3. How much information and news have you read or heard about the State of Ohio's current budget situation?					Table Total
		A lot	Some	Not much	Nothing at all	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 4. Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following phrases would you say best describes the State of Ohio's current budget situation?	A major problem	82.0%	58.9%	38.9%	39.3%	50.3%	59.4%
	Somewhat of a problem	17.6%	38.1%	51.2%	38.2%	38.9%	35.3%
	Not much of a problem	.0%	2.1%	2.5%	7.3%	.0%	1.9%
	Not a problem at all	.4%	.0%	.5%	1.1%	.0%	.3%
	DK/NA	.0%	.9%	7.0%	14.1%	10.8%	3.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 4. Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following phrases would you say best describes the State of Ohio's current budget situation?	A major problem	43.6%	60.9%	65.1%	61.8%	67.9%	58.1%	65.2%	58.4%	70.2%	59.4%
	Somewhat of a problem	47.7%	34.8%	30.8%	32.2%	32.1%	36.6%	28.9%	38.0%	24.6%	35.3%
	Not much of a problem	3.6%	1.2%	1.6%	2.4%	.0%	1.9%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	1.9%
	Not a problem at all	1.0%	.2%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	DK/NA	4.0%	2.9%	2.2%	3.6%	.0%	3.0%	2.7%	3.6%	5.2%	3.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 4. Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following phrases would you say best describes the State of Ohio's current budget situation?	A major problem	63.3%	58.5%	53.2%	62.0%	57.0%	62.5%	47.6%	60.5%	58.5%	59.4%
	Somewhat of a problem	34.9%	35.4%	33.3%	33.6%	38.6%	32.1%	40.4%	34.3%	36.2%	35.3%
	Not much of a problem	1.0%	2.2%	.0%	1.4%	1.9%	2.3%	2.5%	2.3%	1.6%	1.9%
	Not a problem at all	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.3%
	DK/NA	.7%	3.5%	13.5%	2.9%	2.5%	2.1%	9.5%	2.3%	3.7%	3.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 4. Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following phrases would you say best describes the State of Ohio's current budget situation?	A major problem	58.3%	62.1%	56.5%	59.3%	61.0%	58.1%	55.3%	54.9%	70.2%	58.5%	59.4%
	Somewhat of a problem	38.9%	32.7%	35.3%	34.5%	32.1%	39.6%	38.4%	39.7%	26.0%	39.7%	35.3%
	Not much of a problem	.4%	2.0%	3.5%	1.8%	3.3%	1.0%	1.8%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	1.9%
	Not a problem at all	.0%	.5%	.4%	.5%	.0%	.3%	.6%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	DK/NA	2.4%	2.6%	4.3%	3.9%	3.6%	1.0%	3.9%	4.1%	1.8%	1.8%	3.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		Q. 3. How much information and news have you read or heard about the State of Ohio's current budget situation?					Q. 4. Based on what you know or have heard, which of the following phrases would you say best describes the State of Ohio's current budget situation?					Table Total
		A lot	Some	Not much	Nothing at all	DK/NA	A major problem	Somewhat of a problem	Not much of a problem	Not a problem at all	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 5. Do you agree or disagree that most people will not be affected if many state services and programs are reduced or eliminated in order to solve Ohio's budget problems?	Agree	22.6%	26.6%	24.6%	34.8%	19.1%	24.0%	27.7%	43.2%	34.8%	17.0%	25.5%
	Disagree	72.1%	67.7%	67.9%	53.7%	55.4%	70.1%	65.9%	51.4%	65.2%	56.9%	67.8%
	DK/NA	5.3%	5.7%	7.5%	11.5%	25.5%	5.8%	6.4%	5.4%	.0%	26.1%	6.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 5. Do you agree or disagree that most people will not be affected if many state services and programs are reduced or eliminated in order to solve Ohio's budget problems?	Agree	25.8%	23.1%	29.0%	26.5%	8.0%	25.8%	16.1%	36.4%	38.8%	25.5%
	Disagree	69.5%	72.3%	67.1%	60.8%	59.4%	67.2%	83.9%	50.4%	46.5%	67.8%
	DK/NA	4.7%	4.6%	3.8%	12.7%	32.6%	7.0%	.0%	13.2%	14.7%	6.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
						Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/Did not vote			
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates				Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 5. Do you agree or disagree that most people will not be affected if many state services and programs are reduced or eliminated in order to solve Ohio's budget problems?	Agree	23.4%	26.2%	13.5%	34.2%	23.7%	19.4%	26.5%	29.2%	22.3%	25.5%
	Disagree	74.0%	66.2%	80.2%	58.1%	68.3%	78.0%	59.8%	65.0%	70.3%	67.8%
	DK/NA	2.6%	7.6%	6.3%	7.7%	8.0%	2.6%	13.7%	5.8%	7.4%	6.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republica n	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 5. Do you agree or disagree that most people will not be affected if many state services and programs are reduced or eliminated in order to solve Ohio's budget problems?	Agree	33.0%	18.3%	28.5%	25.6%	23.2%	22.8%	29.5%	23.3%	31.2%	40.3%	25.5%
	Disagree	58.9%	77.4%	63.0%	66.1%	71.3%	68.0%	66.7%	74.3%	58.2%	52.6%	67.8%
	DK/NA	8.1%	4.4%	8.5%	8.3%	5.4%	9.3%	3.8%	2.4%	10.6%	7.1%	6.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 6. If you were deciding, which of the following areas would you be most likely to reduce spending for, in order to solve Ohio's budget problems?	Local public school districts	7.3%	8.5%	9.3%	13.7%	13.5%	9.8%	5.8%	25.4%	6.4%	9.8%
	Skilled nursing facility care for the elderly who are poor	8.4%	7.2%	3.6%	5.2%	17.4%	5.9%	8.5%	4.7%	7.7%	6.2%
	Ohio's public colleges and universities	28.2%	30.8%	34.3%	23.8%	26.2%	31.5%	17.3%	22.1%	33.0%	29.5%
	Road and highway repairs	37.1%	28.5%	26.8%	16.9%	11.1%	26.3%	36.5%	11.5%	15.6%	26.6%
	Law enforcement and safety services	6.9%	5.2%	5.2%	2.7%	.0%	4.2%	7.6%	14.7%	.0%	4.8%
	Other	2.5%	7.3%	5.7%	9.1%	9.1%	6.4%	7.6%	5.9%	8.6%	6.6%
	DK/NA	9.6%	12.5%	15.2%	28.6%	22.7%	15.9%	16.8%	15.7%	28.8%	16.5%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 6. If you were deciding, which of the following areas would you be most likely to reduce spending for, in order to solve Ohio's budget problems?	Local public school districts	3.9%	11.3%	6.0%	14.5%	8.8%	6.7%	9.0%	12.3%	7.5%	9.8%
	Skilled nursing facility care for the elderly who are poor	9.2%	5.4%	10.9%	6.9%	7.3%	5.0%	4.2%	6.1%	6.4%	6.2%
	Ohio's public colleges and universities	29.9%	29.4%	29.8%	35.4%	31.6%	23.6%	23.1%	30.5%	28.7%	29.5%
	Road and highway repairs	31.2%	25.7%	.0%	25.7%	24.7%	30.5%	21.5%	20.6%	31.9%	26.6%
	Law enforcement and safety services	4.8%	4.9%	.0%	3.3%	3.9%	7.7%	2.3%	5.3%	4.4%	4.8%
	Other	7.6%	6.3%	16.4%	6.3%	4.6%	6.9%	15.3%	8.2%	5.2%	6.6%
	DK/NA	13.5%	17.0%	36.8%	7.8%	19.2%	19.6%	24.7%	17.1%	16.0%	16.5%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 6. If you were deciding, which of the following areas would you be most likely to reduce spending for, in order to solve Ohio's budget problems?	Local public school districts	13.7%	7.5%	8.8%	8.7%	9.7%	11.0%	6.1%	12.4%	8.1%	12.3%	9.8%
	Skilled nursing facility care for the elderly who are poor	5.2%	5.5%	8.5%	4.8%	6.1%	6.7%	7.5%	5.6%	10.0%	5.0%	6.2%
	Ohio's public colleges and universities	36.3%	28.1%	24.3%	25.9%	30.4%	32.3%	25.5%	25.8%	25.4%	38.7%	29.5%
	Road and highway repairs	23.6%	26.4%	30.1%	28.4%	27.0%	24.4%	33.6%	22.6%	25.9%	20.6%	26.6%
	Law enforcement and safety services	1.6%	5.4%	7.4%	9.8%	4.1%	5.3%	1.1%	4.3%	3.6%	2.0%	4.8%
	Other	6.8%	6.3%	6.8%	7.6%	4.4%	5.3%	6.9%	11.4%	10.1%	12.2%	6.6%
	DK/NA	12.8%	20.8%	14.1%	14.8%	18.3%	14.9%	19.2%	17.9%	16.9%	9.1%	16.5%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 7. Thinking about those same choices, which of the following areas would you be least likely to reduce spending for?	Local public school districts	52.9%	30.2%	20.8%	24.3%	23.9%	31.1%	27.7%	16.9%	30.8%	30.2%
	Skilled nursing facility care for the elderly who are poor	5.9%	18.8%	22.3%	17.1%	21.2%	17.6%	16.5%	17.2%	12.0%	17.2%
	Ohio's public colleges and universities	9.8%	10.2%	10.7%	10.9%	8.0%	10.5%	9.6%	11.0%	10.2%	10.4%
	Road and highway repairs	5.4%	10.3%	7.4%	9.9%	.0%	7.1%	16.0%	19.3%	7.3%	8.7%
	Law enforcement and safety services	22.2%	25.4%	30.5%	18.0%	28.5%	25.3%	22.2%	15.8%	19.6%	24.4%
	Other	.8%	1.2%	1.1%	2.4%	.0%	.9%	2.7%	8.0%	.0%	1.4%
	DK/NA	3.1%	3.8%	7.3%	17.4%	18.3%	7.4%	5.4%	11.9%	20.1%	7.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
						Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote			
		Yes Col %	No Col %	DK/NA Col %	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Men Col %	Women Col %	Col %
Q. 7. Thinking about those same choices, which of the following areas would you be least likely to reduce spending for?	Local public school districts	37.0%	28.4%	34.1%	26.7%	29.7%	35.6%	22.4%	25.1%	34.7%	30.2%
	Skilled nursing facility care for the elderly who are poor	16.8%	17.5%	6.0%	17.0%	18.4%	16.6%	15.3%	16.4%	18.0%	17.2%
	Ohio's public colleges and universities	7.8%	11.1%	.0%	9.7%	11.9%	9.0%	12.2%	11.2%	9.6%	10.4%
	Road and highway repairs	7.6%	9.0%	.0%	10.0%	5.9%	9.8%	10.7%	11.0%	6.6%	8.7%
	Law enforcement and safety services	20.6%	25.4%	19.3%	31.3%	26.2%	17.7%	18.4%	27.8%	21.3%	24.4%
	Other	1.8%	1.2%	5.8%	.8%	.9%	.9%	7.5%	1.1%	1.6%	1.4%
	DK/NA	8.3%	7.3%	34.8%	4.5%	6.9%	10.3%	13.4%	7.4%	8.1%	7.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 7. Thinking about those same choices, which of the following areas would you be least likely to reduce spending for?	Local public school districts	25.4%	32.4%	32.1%	31.7%	29.6%	30.0%	27.1%	37.0%	28.8%	27.6%	30.2%
	Skilled nursing facility care for the elderly who are poor	17.2%	18.5%	15.3%	13.4%	16.4%	18.7%	15.6%	20.0%	20.2%	24.4%	17.2%
	Ohio's public colleges and universities	9.6%	9.4%	12.7%	7.1%	11.6%	10.9%	13.4%	7.7%	10.5%	8.6%	10.4%
	Road and highway repairs	8.9%	7.4%	10.3%	9.7%	8.6%	8.0%	9.6%	7.6%	2.1%	13.6%	8.7%
	Law enforcement and safety services	31.4%	21.6%	21.0%	24.0%	25.4%	26.5%	24.1%	21.7%	18.8%	20.1%	24.4%
	Other	.9%	1.6%	1.6%	2.6%	.6%	.3%	3.0%	1.0%	3.7%	2.2%	1.4%
	DK/NA	6.5%	9.2%	7.2%	11.4%	7.8%	5.6%	7.2%	5.0%	15.9%	3.4%	7.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 8. Do you favor or oppose... Eliminating property tax reductions that are given to homeowners?	Favor	37.3%	39.5%	36.5%	38.6%	31.4%	39.2%	35.9%	27.3%	35.0%	38.2%
	Oppose	60.6%	56.7%	59.7%	55.0%	57.5%	57.1%	58.5%	66.3%	57.2%	57.6%
	DK/NA	2.1%	3.8%	3.8%	6.4%	11.1%	3.7%	5.5%	6.3%	7.8%	4.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 8. Do you favor or oppose...Eliminating property tax reductions that are given to homeowners?	Favor	43.5%	36.8%	42.2%	39.8%	38.6%	39.1%	27.1%	41.7%	35.1%	38.2%
	Oppose	52.3%	59.2%	38.5%	58.6%	56.6%	56.4%	63.7%	56.0%	59.0%	57.6%
	DK/NA	4.2%	4.0%	19.3%	1.7%	4.9%	4.6%	9.2%	2.4%	5.8%	4.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 8. Do you favor or oppose...Eliminating property tax reductions that are given to homeowners?	Favor	36.4%	37.4%	41.2%	37.5%	36.3%	41.1%	44.4%	37.7%	32.1%	37.9%	38.2%
	Oppose	59.4%	57.1%	56.4%	59.1%	59.6%	54.3%	52.2%	59.7%	59.6%	56.6%	57.6%
	DK/NA	4.2%	5.4%	2.4%	3.5%	4.1%	4.7%	3.4%	2.5%	8.3%	5.4%	4.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 9. Do you favor or oppose...Eliminating various exemptions in the state tax code that give deductions to taxpayers and businesses for special purposes or circumstances?	Favor	48.3%	49.4%	46.7%	41.2%	13.5%	48.2%	41.4%	37.8%	29.7%	46.3%
	Oppose	44.9%	40.9%	42.8%	49.0%	41.8%	41.8%	53.7%	49.4%	52.0%	43.9%
	DK/NA	6.8%	9.7%	10.5%	9.8%	44.7%	10.1%	5.0%	12.9%	18.3%	9.9%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 9. Do you favor or oppose...Eliminating various exemptions in the state tax code that give deductions to taxpayers and businesses for special purposes or circumstances?	Favor	48.8%	46.1%	6.3%	44.0%	48.5%	47.0%	42.1%	47.4%	45.3%	46.3%
	Oppose	42.7%	44.0%	54.9%	42.9%	44.4%	45.3%	39.1%	43.8%	43.9%	43.9%
	DK/NA	8.5%	9.8%	38.8%	13.0%	7.1%	7.7%	18.8%	8.7%	10.8%	9.9%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 9. Do you favor or oppose...Eliminating various exemptions in the state tax code that give deductions to taxpayers and businesses for special purposes or circumstances?	Favor	42.1%	46.9%	49.7%	48.0%	48.2%	46.6%	44.4%	46.6%	38.5%	38.0%	46.3%
	Oppose	46.4%	43.5%	41.8%	43.3%	44.9%	42.8%	39.0%	44.5%	41.3%	51.8%	43.9%
	DK/NA	11.5%	9.6%	8.5%	8.7%	6.9%	10.7%	16.6%	8.9%	20.2%	10.2%	9.9%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 10. Do you favor or oppose...Laying off a portion of state workers in all departments, including public health, safety and law enforcement?	Favor	24.5%	28.3%	36.2%	30.3%	34.9%	32.5%	9.0%	39.7%	37.0%	30.1%
	Oppose	73.9%	67.1%	59.0%	63.4%	36.8%	63.5%	85.3%	56.7%	43.5%	65.1%
	DK/NA	1.6%	4.6%	4.7%	6.3%	28.3%	4.0%	5.7%	3.6%	19.5%	4.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 10. Do you favor or oppose...Laying off a portion of state workers in all departments, including public health, safety and law enforcement?	Favor	21.8%	32.1%	30.3%	44.2%	31.1%	18.8%	20.0%	38.2%	22.9%	30.1%
	Oppose	75.2%	62.9%	45.5%	50.8%	65.7%	75.9%	71.5%	58.3%	71.2%	65.1%
	DK/NA	3.0%	5.0%	24.2%	5.0%	3.2%	5.4%	8.5%	3.5%	5.9%	4.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 10. Do you favor or oppose...Laying off a portion of state workers in all departments, including public health, safety and law enforcement?	Favor	43.2%	23.4%	26.0%	29.2%	30.8%	30.7%	26.9%	30.9%	18.8%	39.0%	30.1%
	Oppose	50.3%	72.9%	69.4%	64.3%	64.2%	65.4%	66.3%	67.3%	81.2%	54.9%	65.1%
	DK/NA	6.5%	3.7%	4.7%	6.5%	5.0%	3.9%	6.8%	1.9%	.0%	6.1%	4.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 11. Do you favor or oppose...Raising the state sales tax?	Favor	34.6%	35.6%	41.8%	35.6%	9.1%	36.8%	38.1%	28.1%	34.2%	36.6%
	Oppose	63.0%	61.1%	53.6%	63.0%	71.8%	60.3%	59.0%	66.3%	57.1%	60.3%
	DK/NA	2.3%	3.3%	4.6%	1.3%	19.1%	2.8%	2.9%	5.6%	8.7%	3.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 11. Do you favor or oppose...Raising the state sales tax?	Favor	37.7%	36.5%	16.4%	33.1%	37.4%	40.9%	28.1%	38.7%	34.7%	36.6%
	Oppose	59.0%	60.6%	61.4%	64.0%	60.9%	56.0%	60.9%	58.5%	61.8%	60.3%
	DK/NA	3.3%	2.9%	22.2%	2.8%	1.7%	3.1%	11.0%	2.8%	3.5%	3.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 11. Do you favor or oppose...Raising the state sales tax?	Favor	31.8%	41.4%	34.4%	35.3%	36.8%	44.5%	30.3%	33.0%	35.2%	27.8%	36.6%
	Oppose	64.0%	56.0%	62.7%	62.7%	59.8%	50.5%	65.3%	65.3%	64.8%	70.4%	60.3%
	DK/NA	4.3%	2.6%	2.8%	2.0%	3.4%	5.0%	4.4%	1.7%	.0%	1.8%	3.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 12. Do you favor or oppose...Cutting back on welfare programs?	Favor	61.7%	58.9%	59.3%	42.0%	34.5%	58.3%	36.9%	61.1%	42.0%	55.2%
	Oppose	35.3%	37.1%	35.1%	50.3%	38.3%	37.1%	56.3%	34.3%	41.6%	39.5%
	DK/NA	3.1%	4.0%	5.5%	7.7%	27.1%	4.6%	6.8%	4.6%	16.3%	5.3%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 12. Do you favor or oppose...Cutting back on welfare programs?	Favor	63.1%	53.6%	27.4%	74.7%	58.6%	36.5%	45.7%	58.8%	52.1%	55.2%
	Oppose	32.4%	41.1%	50.4%	22.4%	34.7%	59.8%	39.0%	35.0%	43.4%	39.5%
	DK/NA	4.6%	5.3%	22.2%	2.8%	6.7%	3.7%	15.3%	6.2%	4.5%	5.3%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 12. Do you favor or oppose...Cutting back on welfare programs?	Favor	70.7%	41.7%	59.0%	55.6%	53.4%	51.3%	56.0%	60.7%	52.5%	72.3%	55.2%
	Oppose	23.7%	53.4%	35.3%	40.6%	41.3%	42.8%	34.0%	35.3%	39.6%	27.7%	39.5%
	DK/NA	5.6%	4.9%	5.7%	3.8%	5.3%	5.9%	10.0%	4.1%	7.9%	.0%	5.3%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 13a. Do you favor or oppose...Cutting back on health services for the poor and elderly?	Favor	14.6%	14.5%	18.2%	11.0%	11.8%	15.9%	3.7%	11.2%	29.3%	14.7%
	Oppose	82.6%	83.4%	78.5%	85.9%	62.9%	81.1%	96.3%	83.0%	61.7%	82.4%
	DK/NA	2.8%	2.2%	3.3%	3.1%	25.3%	3.1%	.0%	5.8%	9.0%	3.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 13a. Do you favor or oppose...Cutting back on health services for the poor and elderly?	Favor	18.8%	13.7%	10.0%	26.2%	15.0%	8.2%	3.6%	20.8%	9.1%	14.7%
	Oppose	80.5%	83.3%	53.1%	69.9%	82.6%	91.2%	83.9%	77.1%	87.1%	82.4%
	DK/NA	.6%	3.0%	36.9%	3.9%	2.5%	.6%	12.5%	2.0%	3.8%	3.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 13a. Do you favor or oppose...Cutting back on health services for the poor and elderly?	Favor	20.5%	11.5%	13.1%	20.4%	12.5%	9.5%	22.0%	18.9%	8.1%	17.8%	14.7%
	Oppose	74.5%	87.0%	83.5%	76.5%	85.0%	86.0%	76.2%	78.4%	87.4%	80.1%	82.4%
	DK/NA	5.0%	1.5%	3.3%	3.1%	2.6%	4.5%	1.8%	2.7%	4.5%	2.1%	3.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 13b. Do you favor or oppose...Cutting back on the state Medicaid program, which pays to provide health services for the poor and elderly?	Favor	27.7%	27.5%	20.6%	24.7%	16.2%	28.2%	3.2%	39.9%	21.7%	25.3%
	Oppose	72.3%	70.8%	76.5%	69.7%	69.5%	69.6%	93.1%	60.1%	63.8%	71.9%
	DK/NA	.0%	1.8%	2.9%	5.5%	14.3%	2.1%	3.8%	.0%	14.4%	2.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 13b. Do you favor or oppose...Cutting back on the state Medicaid program, which pays to provide health services for the poor and elderly?	Favor	30.3%	24.1%	26.6%	35.4%	26.8%	14.3%	21.5%	26.6%	24.2%	25.3%
	Oppose	67.5%	73.0%	73.4%	61.1%	72.4%	83.8%	66.6%	70.7%	72.9%	71.9%
	DK/NA	2.2%	3.0%	.0%	3.4%	.8%	1.9%	11.9%	2.6%	2.9%	2.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 13b. Do you favor or oppose...Cutting back on the state Medicaid program, which pays to provide health services for the poor and elderly?	Favor	37.6%	16.6%	24.5%	30.4%	25.4%	25.1%	22.6%	26.6%	4.1%	31.8%	25.3%
	Oppose	56.2%	80.9%	75.5%	67.6%	70.7%	72.6%	77.4%	69.5%	95.9%	62.2%	71.9%
	DK/NA	6.2%	2.5%	.0%	2.1%	3.9%	2.3%	.0%	3.9%	.0%	6.0%	2.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 14. Do you favor or oppose...Reducing the amount of money the state gives to cities and counties?	Favor	38.6%	44.9%	42.4%	41.4%	40.0%	42.7%	39.8%	50.5%	38.1%	42.5%
	Oppose	57.3%	49.0%	49.8%	45.2%	23.7%	50.0%	51.8%	38.8%	37.8%	49.3%
	DK/NA	4.1%	6.1%	7.8%	13.4%	36.3%	7.3%	8.4%	10.6%	24.0%	8.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 14. Do you favor or oppose...Reducing the amount of money the state gives to cities and counties?	Favor	38.8%	43.5%	27.4%	55.4%	42.1%	35.8%	23.4%	50.6%	35.3%	42.5%
	Oppose	55.4%	47.9%	39.6%	36.5%	50.6%	56.9%	60.1%	43.6%	54.3%	49.3%
	DK/NA	5.8%	8.5%	32.9%	8.1%	7.2%	7.3%	16.5%	5.8%	10.4%	8.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 14. Do you favor or oppose...Reducing the amount of money the state gives to cities and counties?	Favor	50.5%	36.2%	43.2%	49.1%	44.2%	42.3%	39.8%	38.9%	24.2%	38.3%	42.5%
	Oppose	39.2%	56.7%	49.1%	40.2%	50.4%	46.5%	51.4%	53.1%	68.3%	52.7%	49.3%
	DK/NA	10.2%	7.1%	7.7%	10.7%	5.4%	11.2%	8.7%	8.0%	7.5%	9.0%	8.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 15. Do you favor or oppose...Raising property taxes?	Favor	20.4%	18.0%	13.9%	14.9%	.0%	16.5%	22.5%	6.1%	7.6%	16.5%
	Oppose	77.3%	80.9%	83.3%	82.7%	88.9%	81.8%	73.6%	90.1%	88.9%	81.4%
	DK/NA	2.2%	1.2%	2.8%	2.4%	11.1%	1.7%	3.8%	3.8%	3.5%	2.1%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 15. Do you favor or oppose...Raising property taxes?	Favor	18.4%	16.2%	5.8%	11.6%	15.8%	23.5%	8.6%	17.0%	16.0%	16.5%
	Oppose	78.3%	82.2%	80.7%	87.9%	82.2%	74.3%	83.0%	80.8%	81.9%	81.4%
	DK/NA	3.3%	1.7%	13.5%	.5%	2.0%	2.2%	8.4%	2.2%	2.0%	2.1%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 15. Do you favor or oppose...Raising property taxes?	Favor	8.3%	21.8%	17.3%	14.2%	17.7%	20.4%	17.2%	13.5%	15.4%	5.9%	16.5%
	Oppose	89.7%	75.9%	80.7%	83.6%	80.2%	77.2%	82.0%	85.5%	78.4%	92.9%	81.4%
	DK/NA	2.0%	2.3%	2.0%	2.3%	2.1%	2.4%	.8%	1.0%	6.3%	1.2%	2.1%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 16a. Do you favor or oppose...Eliminating collective bargaining rights that are used by unions to negotiate salaries and benefits for state employees?	Favor	42.5%	44.3%	38.3%	38.6%	.0%	42.6%	29.0%	45.8%	33.4%	41.1%
	Oppose	53.2%	49.7%	57.3%	52.5%	.0%	50.7%	66.5%	54.2%	50.6%	52.3%
	DK/NA	4.3%	6.0%	4.3%	8.9%	100.0%	6.7%	4.5%	.0%	16.0%	6.7%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 16a. Do you favor or oppose...Eliminating collective bargaining rights that are used by unions to negotiate salaries and benefits for state employees?	Favor	28.0%	44.9%	.0%	58.3%	43.5%	22.3%	41.1%	43.2%	39.0%	41.1%
	Oppose	69.7%	47.4%	37.4%	37.0%	49.1%	73.5%	38.9%	53.3%	51.3%	52.3%
	DK/NA	2.4%	7.6%	62.6%	4.6%	7.4%	4.3%	20.0%	3.5%	9.7%	6.7%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 16a. Do you favor or oppose...Eliminating collective bargaining rights that are used by unions to negotiate salaries and benefits for state employees?	Favor	53.9%	29.3%	43.2%	48.4%	46.2%	33.2%	31.2%	44.9%	43.4%	27.1%	41.1%
	Oppose	39.3%	65.7%	47.9%	42.6%	46.8%	59.8%	63.9%	48.2%	56.6%	67.8%	52.3%
	DK/NA	6.8%	5.0%	8.8%	9.1%	7.0%	7.0%	4.9%	6.9%	.0%	5.0%	6.7%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 16b. Do you favor or oppose... Eliminating collective bargaining rights that are used by unions to negotiate salaries and benefits for all state employees, except those in health and safety fields, such as law enforcement officers and nurses?	Favor	51.2%	47.8%	45.8%	38.5%	48.8%	45.8%	49.2%	33.2%	42.1%	45.7%
	Oppose	43.1%	45.3%	48.3%	52.5%	40.0%	47.8%	49.3%	47.4%	25.4%	47.3%
	DK/NA	5.7%	6.9%	5.9%	9.0%	11.2%	6.4%	1.5%	19.4%	32.5%	7.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 16b. Do you favor or oppose... Eliminating collective bargaining rights that are used by unions to negotiate salaries and benefits for all state employees, except those in health and safety fields, such as law enforcement officers and nurses?	Favor	30.9%	49.3%	26.1%	58.9%	45.8%	37.8%	29.6%	48.4%	43.5%	45.7%
	Oppose	66.8%	42.9%	57.7%	32.5%	46.3%	57.2%	63.9%	48.6%	46.2%	47.3%
	DK/NA	2.3%	7.9%	16.2%	8.6%	7.8%	5.1%	6.5%	3.0%	10.3%	7.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 16b. Do you favor or oppose...Eliminating collective bargaining rights that are used by unions to negotiate salaries and benefits for all state employees, except those in health and safety fields, such as law enforcement officers and nurses?	Favor	53.4%	35.5%	54.4%	43.0%	53.1%	41.8%	38.1%	34.5%	34.3%	55.3%	45.7%
	Oppose	37.5%	58.0%	39.9%	48.3%	41.5%	49.9%	51.2%	57.5%	65.7%	37.6%	47.3%
	DK/NA	9.1%	6.6%	5.7%	8.7%	5.4%	8.2%	10.7%	8.0%	.0%	7.1%	7.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 17. Do you favor or oppose...Raising taxes for businesses and corporations?	Favor	59.4%	46.8%	55.0%	46.8%	42.1%	48.3%	70.2%	51.7%	36.2%	50.6%
	Oppose	39.4%	48.3%	43.2%	45.2%	33.6%	47.8%	24.8%	36.1%	56.4%	44.9%
	DK/NA	1.2%	4.9%	1.8%	7.9%	24.3%	4.0%	5.0%	12.2%	7.4%	4.5%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 17. Do you favor or oppose...Raising taxes for businesses and corporations?	Favor	57.1%	48.9%	55.2%	25.7%	55.7%	67.9%	49.6%	48.2%	52.7%	50.6%
	Oppose	40.3%	46.2%	25.3%	70.5%	42.0%	26.9%	36.7%	48.4%	41.7%	44.9%
	DK/NA	2.6%	4.8%	19.5%	3.9%	2.3%	5.2%	13.7%	3.4%	5.6%	4.5%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 17. Do you favor or oppose...Raising taxes for businesses and corporations?	Favor	31.3%	66.1%	48.0%	44.4%	52.8%	53.2%	40.5%	50.0%	62.8%	51.9%	50.6%
	Oppose	64.6%	29.0%	47.7%	52.0%	44.2%	38.9%	56.1%	41.7%	33.1%	45.0%	44.9%
	DK/NA	4.1%	5.0%	4.3%	3.6%	2.9%	7.9%	3.4%	8.3%	4.0%	3.1%	4.5%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 18a. Do you favor or oppose...Substantially reducing funding for the elderly who are poor to receive care in skilled nursing facilities?	Favor	17.0%	17.5%	11.9%	14.0%	7.9%	14.1%	17.9%	30.0%	9.9%	15.1%
	Oppose	81.2%	79.7%	85.7%	80.0%	79.8%	82.7%	82.1%	70.0%	68.4%	81.4%
	DK/NA	1.7%	2.8%	2.4%	6.0%	12.3%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	21.7%	3.4%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 18a. Do you favor or oppose...Substantially reducing funding for the elderly who are poor to receive care in skilled nursing facilities?	Favor	21.4%	13.6%	10.0%	17.7%	16.5%	10.6%	20.4%	16.2%	14.3%	15.1%
	Oppose	78.1%	82.7%	53.3%	77.6%	80.2%	88.6%	68.3%	80.9%	81.9%	81.4%
	DK/NA	.5%	3.7%	36.7%	4.7%	3.3%	.8%	11.3%	2.9%	3.9%	3.4%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 18a. Do you favor or oppose...Substantially reducing funding for the elderly who are poor to receive care in skilled nursing facilities?	Favor	17.3%	12.5%	17.1%	23.1%	12.2%	14.2%	17.9%	18.6%	9.2%	8.4%	15.1%
	Oppose	74.6%	85.5%	82.1%	74.5%	84.6%	82.6%	75.2%	77.2%	87.3%	91.6%	81.4%
	DK/NA	8.2%	2.0%	.8%	2.4%	3.3%	3.1%	7.0%	4.3%	3.5%	.0%	3.4%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 18b. Do you favor or oppose...Substantially reducing funding for the elderly who are poor to receive care in skilled nursing facilities, when they are so sick or frail that their families can no longer care for them at home?	Favor	15.3%	15.4%	16.8%	19.3%	.0%	17.4%	1.9%	43.7%	23.7%	16.4%
	Oppose	83.7%	83.3%	79.0%	77.9%	52.4%	80.3%	93.8%	56.3%	68.5%	80.9%
	DK/NA	1.0%	1.3%	4.2%	2.8%	47.6%	2.3%	4.3%	.0%	7.8%	2.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 18b. Do you favor or oppose...Substantially reducing funding for the elderly who are poor to receive care in skilled nursing facilities, when they are so sick or frail that their families can no longer care for them at home?	Favor	22.1%	15.3%	.0%	23.9%	19.1%	7.9%	11.6%	20.9%	12.4%	16.4%
	Oppose	74.4%	82.5%	84.0%	74.1%	78.6%	90.9%	77.5%	76.1%	85.4%	80.9%
	DK/NA	3.5%	2.3%	16.0%	2.0%	2.3%	1.2%	10.9%	3.0%	2.3%	2.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 18b. Do you favor or oppose...Substantially reducing funding for the elderly who are poor to receive care in skilled nursing facilities, when they are so sick or frail that their families can no longer care for them at home?	Favor	23.6%	12.0%	14.9%	20.8%	13.7%	16.4%	14.7%	8.9%	26.8%	22.8%	16.4%
	Oppose	71.6%	86.8%	82.8%	78.1%	83.0%	82.2%	82.3%	88.6%	63.6%	75.6%	80.9%
	DK/NA	4.7%	1.2%	2.3%	1.1%	3.3%	1.4%	3.0%	2.5%	9.6%	1.6%	2.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 19. Do you agree or disagree that the State of Ohio should not try to balance the budget by eliminating funding which assures, that if it is needed, elderly people can receive care in skilled nursing facilities?	Agree	72.1%	71.8%	70.1%	70.8%	13.2%	72.1%	70.2%	78.0%	33.3%	70.6%
	Disagree	24.9%	22.7%	23.0%	20.3%	49.2%	21.6%	26.8%	16.9%	42.2%	22.9%
	DK/NA	3.1%	5.4%	6.9%	8.8%	37.6%	6.3%	3.0%	5.1%	24.5%	6.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 19. Do you agree or disagree that the State of Ohio should not try to balance the budget by eliminating funding which assures, that if it is needed, elderly people can receive care in skilled nursing facilities?	Agree	72.9%	70.6%	20.6%	69.4%	69.3%	76.6%	55.5%	65.1%	75.4%	70.6%
	Disagree	23.6%	22.5%	38.1%	22.1%	25.0%	21.2%	23.3%	28.0%	18.4%	22.9%
	DK/NA	3.5%	6.9%	41.3%	8.5%	5.6%	2.2%	21.3%	6.9%	6.3%	6.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 19. Do you agree or disagree that the State of Ohio should not try to balance the budget by eliminating funding which assures, that if it is needed, elderly people can receive care in skilled nursing facilities?	Agree	64.4%	75.2%	70.3%	64.2%	70.4%	74.4%	68.1%	78.4%	82.6%	60.2%	70.6%
	Disagree	23.9%	21.0%	24.6%	27.9%	23.8%	19.7%	21.2%	15.7%	13.0%	34.0%	22.9%
	DK/NA	11.7%	3.8%	5.1%	8.0%	5.8%	5.9%	10.8%	5.9%	4.4%	5.8%	6.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 20a. Do you agree or disagree that, as they get older and have limited incomes, people who have worked their entire lives and paid taxes should be assured that, if they become seriously ill, the state will provide funding for suitable care, such as...	Agree	91.4%	87.3%	85.7%	91.2%	56.0%	88.3%	94.8%	77.7%	63.7%	88.2%
	Disagree	8.6%	9.2%	11.6%	5.1%	24.4%	9.4%	.0%	15.7%	31.8%	8.8%
	DK/NA	.0%	3.5%	2.7%	3.8%	19.6%	2.3%	5.2%	6.6%	4.5%	3.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 20a. Do you agree or disagree that, as they get older and have limited incomes, people who have worked their entire lives and paid taxes should be assured that, if they become seriously ill, the state will provide funding for suitable care, such as...	Agree	90.0%	87.7%	86.9%	81.3%	87.7%	96.8%	74.5%	86.8%	89.3%	88.2%
	Disagree	6.7%	9.4%	13.1%	15.2%	8.9%	2.6%	14.5%	10.4%	7.6%	8.8%
	DK/NA	3.4%	2.9%	.0%	3.5%	3.5%	.6%	11.0%	2.9%	3.0%	3.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 20a. Do you agree or disagree that, as they get older and have limited incomes, people who have worked their entire lives and paid taxes should be assured that, if they become seriously ill, the state will provide funding for suitable care, such as...	Agree	77.6%	91.9%	92.5%	84.7%	88.7%	91.4%	83.9%	93.8%	83.8%	90.4%	88.2%
	Disagree	16.5%	5.5%	6.7%	14.2%	6.8%	6.5%	12.2%	4.2%	12.7%	9.6%	8.8%
	DK/NA	5.9%	2.7%	.8%	1.1%	4.5%	2.1%	3.9%	2.1%	3.5%	.0%	3.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 20b. Do you agree or disagree that, as they get older and have limited incomes, people who have worked their entire lives and paid taxes should be assured that, if they become seriously ill, and do not have families to care for them, the state will...	Agree	84.5%	87.1%	92.1%	85.8%	81.5%	87.0%	93.7%	84.6%	92.4%	87.6%
	Disagree	14.4%	9.3%	5.5%	7.9%	.0%	9.2%	6.3%	6.3%	3.2%	8.6%
	DK/NA	1.1%	3.6%	2.4%	6.3%	18.5%	3.8%	.0%	9.1%	4.4%	3.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 20b. Do you agree or disagree that, as they get older and have limited incomes, people who have worked their entire lives and paid taxes should be assured that, if they become seriously ill, and do not have families to care for them, the state will...	Agree	87.8%	87.4%	100.0%	83.1%	86.1%	94.8%	85.0%	87.0%	88.2%	87.6%
	Disagree	9.9%	8.5%	.0%	11.6%	11.3%	4.8%	1.6%	9.5%	7.8%	8.6%
	DK/NA	2.3%	4.1%	.0%	5.3%	2.7%	.4%	13.4%	3.5%	4.0%	3.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 20b. Do you agree or disagree that, as they get older and have limited incomes, people who have worked their entire lives and paid taxes should be assured that, if they become seriously ill, and do not have families to care for them, the state will...	Agree	81.5%	90.0%	91.5%	86.0%	86.3%	90.5%	85.6%	84.7%	93.5%	91.3%	87.6%
	Disagree	12.3%	7.6%	5.6%	6.6%	11.4%	6.1%	9.2%	10.5%	.0%	8.7%	8.6%
	DK/NA	6.2%	2.4%	2.9%	7.3%	2.3%	3.3%	5.1%	4.8%	6.5%	.0%	3.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 21. Do you agree or disagree that, no matter how bad the budget situation becomes, there needs to be a "safety net" to ensure that people with limited incomes who sustain serious injuries will have long-term care for recuperation, if they need it?	Agree	85.2%	84.1%	87.5%	91.0%	50.0%	86.3%	94.3%	73.7%	71.3%	86.3%
	Disagree	13.6%	13.8%	9.1%	6.3%	22.9%	11.4%	3.7%	24.0%	15.1%	11.0%
	DK/NA	1.2%	2.1%	3.4%	2.7%	27.1%	2.3%	2.0%	2.3%	13.6%	2.7%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 21. Do you agree or disagree that, no matter how bad the budget situation becomes, there needs to be a "safety net" to ensure that people with limited incomes who sustain serious injuries will have long-term care for recuperation, if they need it?	Agree	89.6%	85.5%	83.1%	80.3%	86.0%	93.4%	80.0%	84.6%	87.7%	86.3%
	Disagree	8.9%	11.5%	16.9%	16.7%	12.0%	5.2%	10.0%	13.0%	9.3%	11.0%
	DK/NA	1.5%	3.0%	.0%	3.0%	2.0%	1.4%	10.0%	2.4%	3.0%	2.7%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 21. Do you agree or disagree that, no matter how bad the budget situation becomes, there needs to be a "safety net" to ensure that people with limited incomes who sustain serious injuries will have long-term care for recuperation, if they need it?	Agree	77.3%	91.7%	87.7%	85.8%	86.7%	86.8%	84.7%	84.8%	88.2%	86.2%	86.3%
	Disagree	18.2%	6.9%	9.6%	12.9%	11.1%	9.0%	11.3%	11.5%	11.8%	10.7%	11.0%
	DK/NA	4.5%	1.4%	2.7%	1.3%	2.2%	4.2%	4.1%	3.7%	.0%	3.2%	2.7%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 22. Supposing that the state legislator that represents your community voted to continue funding to provide skilled nursing facility care for the elderly or severely injured people who cannot afford it, would you be more or less likely to re-elect...	More likely	47.7%	46.5%	49.0%	52.3%	17.2%	46.3%	68.8%	37.8%	35.2%	48.3%
	Less likely	4.9%	6.5%	8.3%	6.2%	9.0%	6.4%	4.2%	12.7%	12.0%	6.6%
	No difference	43.7%	42.6%	38.4%	36.3%	30.7%	43.8%	21.2%	40.5%	24.7%	40.2%
	DK/NA	3.6%	4.4%	4.3%	5.2%	43.0%	3.5%	5.8%	9.0%	28.2%	4.9%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 22. Supposing that the state legislator that represents your community voted to continue funding to provide skilled nursing facility care for the elderly or severely injured people who cannot afford it, would you be more or less likely to re-elect...	More likely	49.9%	48.3%	16.5%	36.2%	46.7%	63.5%	36.8%	42.3%	53.6%	48.3%
	Less likely	6.8%	6.5%	10.5%	10.0%	5.0%	5.2%	7.0%	8.3%	5.2%	6.6%
	No difference	40.8%	40.2%	31.7%	49.7%	44.1%	27.2%	42.0%	44.9%	36.0%	40.2%
	DK/NA	2.6%	5.0%	41.3%	4.1%	4.2%	4.1%	14.2%	4.5%	5.2%	4.9%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 22. Supposing that the state legislator that represents your community voted to continue funding to provide skilled nursing facility care for the elderly or severely injured people who cannot afford it, would you be more or less likely to re-elect...	More likely	34.7%	55.7%	51.7%	49.3%	47.0%	50.5%	38.7%	46.5%	58.9%	54.9%	48.3%
	Less likely	7.2%	6.5%	6.2%	6.2%	5.5%	5.4%	12.3%	4.1%	9.7%	10.0%	6.6%
	No difference	52.7%	33.1%	37.5%	38.6%	43.6%	40.1%	37.4%	43.4%	29.7%	32.9%	40.2%
	DK/NA	5.4%	4.7%	4.6%	5.8%	3.9%	4.0%	11.6%	6.0%	1.8%	2.2%	4.9%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 23. Supposing that the state legislator that represents your community voted to eliminate funding to provide skilled nursing facility care for the elderly or severely injured people who cannot afford it, would you be more or less likely to re-elect...	More likely	5.5%	7.1%	6.6%	14.3%	5.4%	7.6%	9.6%	9.0%	20.5%	8.4%
	Less likely	55.9%	54.1%	59.0%	55.4%	18.1%	52.9%	80.5%	54.3%	29.8%	55.4%
	No difference	36.6%	35.9%	31.2%	24.9%	52.1%	36.3%	7.9%	34.7%	30.9%	32.6%
	DK/NA	1.9%	2.8%	3.2%	5.4%	24.3%	3.2%	2.0%	2.0%	18.7%	3.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/Did not vote	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 23. Supposing that the state legislator that represents your community voted to eliminate funding to provide skilled nursing facility care for the elderly or severely injured people who cannot afford it, would you be more or less likely to re-elect...	More likely	7.8%	8.6%	6.3%	11.4%	7.4%	7.9%	4.0%	9.0%	7.9%	8.4%
	Less likely	56.3%	55.4%	33.2%	41.0%	53.7%	70.4%	53.7%	50.4%	59.8%	55.4%
	No difference	34.6%	32.0%	41.0%	44.4%	35.6%	19.3%	30.9%	37.6%	28.2%	32.6%
	DK/NA	1.3%	4.0%	19.5%	3.3%	3.3%	2.4%	11.4%	3.0%	4.2%	3.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
Q. 23. Supposing that the state legislator that represents your community voted to eliminate funding to provide skilled nursing facility care for the elderly or severely injured people who cannot afford it, would you be more or less likely to re-elect...	More likely	10.1%	8.0%	7.1%	5.6%	9.6%	5.8%	10.6%	4.9%	8.0%	18.4%	8.4%
	Less likely	37.3%	64.6%	60.9%	57.1%	52.7%	57.2%	59.3%	57.3%	65.8%	44.1%	55.4%
	No difference	47.2%	24.7%	28.7%	32.1%	35.5%	31.3%	26.1%	36.0%	22.5%	33.9%	32.6%
	DK/NA	5.3%	2.6%	3.3%	5.1%	2.2%	5.7%	3.9%	1.8%	3.7%	3.6%	3.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		RACE: (consolidated)				UNION HOUSEHOLD:			Table Total
		White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	Yes	No	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
AGE GROUP: (consolidated)	18 to 34	17.2%	8.6%	19.6%	2.7%	20.3%	14.7%	.0%	15.7%
	35 to 54	36.4%	44.4%	41.3%	21.6%	42.8%	35.6%	34.3%	37.0%
	55 to 65	23.2%	21.5%	18.9%	25.0%	24.8%	22.7%	.0%	22.9%
	66 or older	23.0%	25.4%	20.2%	26.7%	12.1%	26.4%	.0%	23.3%
	DK/NA	.3%	.0%	.0%	24.0%	.0%	.6%	65.7%	1.1%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
AGE GROUP: (consolidated)	18 to 34	16.6%	14.4%	17.0%	12.4%	12.6%	18.4%	15.7%
	35 to 54	39.6%	36.7%	36.8%	29.4%	38.0%	36.1%	37.0%
	55 to 65	23.0%	27.0%	19.8%	17.6%	27.7%	18.6%	22.9%
	66 or older	20.8%	21.3%	26.4%	28.1%	20.4%	25.8%	23.3%
	DK/NA	.0%	.6%	.0%	12.4%	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republica n	Democrat	Unaffilia d/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/ Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngsto wn	Other markets (combine d)	
AGE GROUP: (consolidated)	18 to 34	10.3%	11.5%	27.9%	13.9%	15.1%	17.6%	18.7%	19.7%	16.4%	7.3%	15.7%
	35 to 54	38.6%	35.3%	37.9%	34.8%	40.2%	36.5%	34.1%	36.4%	27.4%	38.5%	37.0%
	55 to 65	24.1%	25.0%	18.4%	23.7%	23.3%	17.3%	25.4%	22.6%	23.8%	31.9%	22.9%
	66 or older	25.1%	27.2%	15.4%	25.7%	20.8%	26.6%	21.1%	20.5%	30.3%	22.4%	23.3%
	DK/NA	1.9%	1.0%	.5%	1.9%	.6%	2.0%	.6%	.8%	2.1%	.0%	1.1%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					UNION HOUSEHOLD:			Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	Yes	No	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
RACE: (consolidated)	White	88.1%	79.1%	81.4%	79.2%	19.4%	79.8%	81.3%	14.6%	80.4%
	African-American	6.7%	14.6%	11.4%	13.2%	.0%	13.4%	12.0%	.0%	12.1%
	Something else	4.6%	4.1%	3.0%	3.2%	.0%	3.3%	3.8%	.0%	3.7%
	DK/NA	.7%	2.2%	4.1%	4.3%	80.6%	3.4%	2.9%	85.4%	3.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	Men	Women	
						Col %	Col %	Col %
RACE: (consolidated)	White	94.4%	87.2%	64.9%	63.2%	80.6%	80.2%	80.4%
	African-American	.0%	5.5%	29.8%	12.6%	10.4%	13.7%	12.1%
	Something else	2.6%	4.0%	3.3%	7.5%	4.3%	3.1%	3.7%
	DK/NA	3.0%	3.3%	2.0%	16.7%	4.7%	3.0%	3.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
RACE: (consolidated)	White	90.6%	72.1%	81.9%	78.5%	78.4%	81.1%	76.3%	87.1%	81.5%	92.4%	80.4%
	African-American	1.5%	20.8%	10.5%	12.8%	14.6%	11.1%	13.9%	9.0%	12.5%	.0%	12.1%
	Something else	3.2%	3.7%	4.1%	2.4%	2.8%	5.4%	5.7%	2.2%	1.9%	6.6%	3.7%
	DK/NA	4.7%	3.4%	3.4%	6.3%	4.2%	2.5%	4.1%	1.7%	4.0%	1.0%	3.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
UNION HOUSEHOLD:	Yes	25.5%	22.8%	21.4%	10.2%	.0%	19.6%	21.7%	18.0%	17.9%	19.7%
	No	74.5%	76.3%	78.6%	89.8%	43.5%	80.2%	78.3%	82.0%	60.2%	79.3%
	DK/NA	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	56.5%	.2%	.0%	.0%	21.9%	1.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				GENDER:		Table Total
		Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/Did not vote	Men	Women	
						Col %	Col %	Col %
		UNION HOUSEHOLD:	Yes	12.5%	22.2%	27.1%	5.4%	17.9%
No	87.2%		77.8%	72.8%	83.7%	80.6%	78.1%	79.3%
DK/NA	.3%		.0%	.2%	10.9%	1.5%	.6%	1.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
UNION HOUSEHOLD:	Yes	12.1%	25.5%	19.2%	16.9%	15.7%	18.6%	19.5%	27.1%	39.7%	30.4%	19.7%
	No	86.5%	73.2%	80.8%	81.8%	83.4%	81.0%	78.6%	72.2%	58.2%	69.6%	79.3%
	DK/NA	1.4%	1.3%	.0%	1.3%	.9%	.4%	1.9%	.8%	2.1%	.0%	1.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	30.0%	30.3%	28.4%	25.2%	.0%	33.2%	.0%	20.1%	22.1%	28.3%
	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	29.9%	32.3%	38.4%	29.8%	16.4%	35.3%	14.7%	35.9%	28.0%	32.6%
	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	34.1%	31.4%	27.3%	35.7%	.0%	25.5%	77.4%	28.4%	16.5%	31.5%
	DK/NA/Did not vote	6.0%	6.1%	5.9%	9.2%	83.6%	6.0%	7.9%	15.6%	33.4%	7.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			GENDER:		Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Men	Women	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	18.0%	31.1%	8.8%	30.4%	26.4%	28.3%
	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	36.7%	31.9%	.0%	33.2%	32.0%	32.6%
	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	43.3%	28.9%	5.8%	29.9%	33.0%	31.5%
	DK/NA/Did not vote	2.1%	8.0%	85.4%	6.5%	8.6%	7.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)							Table Total
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	59.0%	6.3%	28.4%	39.8%	22.5%	27.5%	34.2%	28.2%	22.4%	30.9%	28.3%
	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/Independent candidates	32.5%	31.7%	34.0%	27.5%	36.8%	33.4%	20.9%	34.0%	24.9%	40.4%	32.6%
	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	2.2%	54.9%	27.7%	23.6%	35.0%	31.7%	36.2%	33.9%	34.9%	18.5%	31.5%
	DK/NA/Did not vote	6.3%	7.1%	9.8%	9.1%	5.8%	7.4%	8.7%	3.9%	17.8%	10.2%	7.6%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
GENDER:	Men	37.8%	48.1%	56.7%	41.0%	50.4%	47.0%	40.0%	55.0%	58.4%	46.8%
	Women	62.2%	51.9%	43.3%	59.0%	49.6%	53.0%	60.0%	45.0%	41.6%	53.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
GENDER:	Men	42.6%	47.6%	69.8%	50.3%	47.7%	44.4%	39.9%	46.8%
	Women	57.4%	52.4%	30.2%	49.7%	52.3%	55.6%	60.1%	53.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		PARTY REGISTRATION:			MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)						Table Total	
		Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
GENDER:	Men	51.7%	43.1%	47.2%	38.7%	50.0%	47.3%	48.0%	45.4%	50.4%	45.3%	46.8%
	Women	48.3%	56.9%	52.8%	61.3%	50.0%	52.7%	52.0%	54.6%	49.6%	54.7%	53.2%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
PARTY REGISTRATION:	Republican	19.7%	31.3%	31.6%	32.3%	50.6%	33.8%	3.7%	26.3%	37.3%	30.0%
	Democrat	30.8%	40.3%	46.1%	49.3%	38.3%	37.9%	72.2%	42.8%	37.5%	42.2%
	Unaffiliated/other	49.5%	28.4%	22.3%	18.4%	11.1%	28.3%	24.2%	30.9%	25.1%	27.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				Table Total
		Yes	No	DK/NA	Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
PARTY REGISTRATION:	Republican	18.4%	32.7%	43.4%	62.6%	29.9%	2.1%	25.0%	30.0%
	Democrat	54.5%	39.0%	56.6%	9.4%	41.1%	73.5%	39.1%	42.2%
	Unaffiliated/other	27.1%	28.3%	.0%	28.0%	29.0%	24.4%	35.9%	27.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		GENDER:		MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)						Table Total	
		Men	Women	Cincinnati	Cleveland/Akron	Columbus	Dayton	Toledo	Youngstown	Other markets (combined)	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
PARTY REGISTRATION:	Republican	33.1%	27.3%	36.4%	23.8%	33.5%	33.6%	35.6%	12.3%	40.4%	30.0%
	Democrat	38.8%	45.1%	28.9%	50.4%	38.8%	37.8%	38.6%	59.0%	37.0%	42.2%
	Unaffiliated/other	28.0%	27.6%	34.7%	25.8%	27.7%	28.6%	25.8%	28.7%	22.6%	27.8%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		AGE GROUP: (consolidated)					RACE: (consolidated)				Table Total
		18 to 34	35 to 54	55 to 65	66 or older	DK/NA	White	African-American	Something else	DK/NA	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)	Cincinnati	14.2%	15.1%	16.6%	17.7%	26.6%	15.6%	16.9%	10.5%	26.4%	16.0%
	Cleveland/Akron	34.9%	39.5%	37.1%	32.4%	18.6%	35.4%	43.6%	27.9%	40.3%	36.3%
	Columbus	22.2%	19.4%	14.9%	22.6%	35.1%	19.9%	18.0%	28.9%	12.8%	19.7%
	Dayton	11.3%	8.7%	10.5%	8.6%	5.4%	9.0%	10.8%	14.8%	10.3%	9.5%
	Toledo	9.5%	7.4%	7.5%	6.7%	5.2%	8.2%	5.6%	4.6%	3.3%	7.6%
	Youngstown	5.1%	3.6%	5.1%	6.4%	9.0%	5.0%	5.0%	2.6%	5.2%	4.9%
	Other markets (combined)	2.8%	6.3%	8.4%	5.8%	.0%	6.9%	.0%	10.8%	1.6%	6.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		UNION HOUSEHOLD:			NOVEMBER, 2010 VOTING PREFERENCES: (consolidated)				Table Total
					Voted just/mostly for Republican candidates	Voted for some Republican and some Democrat candidates/ Independent candidates	Voted just/mostly for Democrat candidates	DK/NA/ Did not vote	
		Yes	No	DK/NA					Col %
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)	Cincinnati	13.7%	16.5%	21.1%	22.6%	13.5%	12.0%	19.0%	16.0%
	Cleveland/Akron	28.9%	38.2%	35.1%	28.8%	41.1%	40.3%	27.5%	36.3%
	Columbus	18.6%	20.2%	8.8%	19.2%	20.2%	19.8%	19.3%	19.7%
	Dayton	9.3%	9.4%	18.4%	11.4%	6.1%	10.8%	10.8%	9.5%
	Toledo	10.4%	6.9%	6.0%	7.5%	7.9%	8.1%	3.9%	7.6%
	Youngstown	9.8%	3.6%	10.5%	3.9%	3.7%	5.4%	11.4%	4.9%
	Other markets (combined)	9.3%	5.3%	.0%	6.6%	7.5%	3.5%	8.1%	6.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

		GENDER:		PARTY REGISTRATION:			Table Total
		Men	Women	Republican	Democrat	Unaffiliated/other	
		Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %	Col %
MEDIA MARKET/DMA: (consolidated)	Cincinnati	13.2%	18.5%	19.4%	11.0%	20.0%	16.0%
	Cleveland/Akron	38.8%	34.2%	28.8%	43.4%	33.7%	36.3%
	Columbus	19.9%	19.6%	22.0%	18.2%	19.7%	19.7%
	Dayton	9.7%	9.3%	10.6%	8.5%	9.7%	9.5%
	Toledo	7.3%	7.8%	9.0%	6.9%	7.0%	7.6%
	Youngstown	5.3%	4.6%	2.0%	6.8%	5.0%	4.9%
	Other markets (combined)	5.8%	6.2%	8.1%	5.3%	4.9%	6.0%
Table Total		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%